



Phonological Basis of Swahili Mispronunciation Among Kabarasi-Speaking Secondary School Students in Kakamega North Sub-County

Author

Simon Peter Katui 

Author's email: simonkatui15@gmail.com

University of Nairobi, Kenya.

Cite this article in APA

Katui, S. P. (2025). Phonological basis of mispronunciation in spoken Swahili of Kabarasi speaking students in secondary schools of Kakamega North Sub-County. *Journal of languages and linguistics*, 4(1), 14-21.

<https://doi.org/10.51317/jll.v4i1.778>



A publication of Editon Consortium Publishing (online)

Article history

Received: 2025-06-10

Accepted: 2025-07-11

Published: 2025-08-11

Scan this QR to read the paper online



Copyright: ©2025 by the author(s). This article is an Open Access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0).



Abstract

The study aimed at investigating whether Lukabarasi phonology affects the quality of spoken Swahili in secondary schools of Kakamega North Sub-County, where the Kabrasi dialect is spoken. This is because of the mispronunciation of Swahili words among the students in school festivals, games, sports and symposia. The study adopted Contrastive analysis theory by Selinker and Gass (1992) because it explains how the contrast between the two languages exhibits the errors in a second language and impedes communication. A descriptive research design was applicable because the current study is qualitative. The sample size was 89 students from 17 schools that participated in school festivals and symposia from Kakamega North sub-county who speak Lukabarasi as their native language. It was regardless of whether they were boys or girls. More girls than boys participated in elocution and symposia, and so most girls had more accurate Swahili pronunciation than boys. It was found that due to a mismatch between Lukabarasi and Swahili sounds, the errors in second language (L2) were as a result of substitution of Swahili sound with Lukabarasi, their first language (L1), devoicing of Swahili voiced sound, Over-generalisation of Swahili voiced sounds and vowel insertion between Swahili consonants where no vowel exists. Therefore, Kabarasi phonology affects the Swahili spoken language negatively by transferring rules that lead to multiple errors in the pronunciation of Swahili sounds. The study therefore recommends that students should be exposed to extensive experience in Spoken Swahili by being involved in symposia, workshops and elocution to minimise the errors in spoken Swahili.

Key terms: Dialectal, elocution, first language, insertion, Lukabarasi, second language, substitution.

INTRODUCTION

The study unearthed that during educational settings such as drama, music festivals, and symposiums, some students exhibit multiple errors in spoken Swahili. Therefore, the current study sought to investigate whether the Kabarasi dialect affects spoken Swahili among the Kabarasi-speaking students.

Kabarasi, also called Kabras or Lukabarasi, is one of the 19 Luhya dialects with varied degrees of intelligibility (Mudogo, 2018). It is widely spoken in Kakamega North Sub-County as a native language. On the other hand, Swahili belongs to the Bantu group of languages and is widely spoken in Kenya as the language of communication in various social settings (Chenenje, 2024). Elocution involves oral presentations, which include public speaking, recitations and singing in either language to promote spoken language among secondary students. It is expected that Swahili and Kabras share similarities because Kabras is one of the Luhya dialects, which belong to the Bantu group of languages.

Mtallo and Mwambula (2018) found that Swahili has 27 consonants, while Chenenje (2024) found that Kabarasi has 23 consonants. This, therefore, implies that there is a mismatch between Kabarasi and Swahili sounds. The current study aimed to investigate whether the variation leads to errors in spoken Swahili. Selinker (1972) explains that if the speaker of the L1 has the rules of L2, there is a likelihood of positive transfer where the mastery of L2 is acquired faster than if there were a variation between the two languages. The study investigated whether Lukabarasi phonology and that of Swahili exhibit a significant variation to affect the students in secondary schools of Kakamega North sub-county, where Lukabarasi is widely spoken as the native language.

In Kenya, Swahili is widely spoken as a national language in both rural and urban social settings, which include schools, places of worship, parliament sessions and cultural events, among others. However, the quality of spoken Swahili is below the standards required by the secondary school students, which can compromise communication. The study sought to investigate the cause of such an anomaly to scientifically seek a resolution to improve the quality of spoken Swahili. The study aims to explore two

primary objectives. First, it seeks to compare and contrast the consonants of the Kabras language with those of Swahili. Second, it endeavours to determine whether the phonology of Kabras influences the spoken form of Swahili.

Swahili is a national language in Kenya, yet it faces severe problems, including mispronunciation of words and mixture of both English and Swahili or Kabras with Swahili, hence lowering its quality. If its quality is compromised, there is a danger of miscommunication in a social setting (Chenenje, 2024). The study tends to solve the problem by establishing measures to restore the quality and originality of Swahili through scientific study, and further recommends that the curriculum implementers restore sanity in the language.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Chenenje (2024) compared Kabras sounds with those of English and found that there was a variation in the consonants of both languages that led to errors in spoken English, hence impeding communication in various social settings. The current study then sought to investigate whether such variation can also have a significant effect on spoken Swahili, given that the two languages share some Bantu language group structure and lexical items (Chenenje, 2024).

Jeptoo (2016) revealed that spelling errors that occurred in the written English of Nandi students are a result of phonological mismatch between English and the Nandi language, where the Nandi students substitute English sounds with those of Nandi sounds that were absent in English. Such a study has necessitated a study on whether variation between the sounds of Kabras and Swahili can cause a significant effect on spoken Swahili.

A similar study on the phonological basis of errors transferred from L1 to L2 has been done by Ontieri (2015) and found that errors are transferred from Bantu language groups to Swahili as a result of deletion, insertion and phoneme substitution. It has paved the way for the current study and only differs in that it dwells on orthography by analysing the written Swahili composition. In contrast, the current study sought to examine the effect of L1 on spoken Swahili.

The study digs into the Lukabarasi language specifically, but Ontieri (2015) was too general to all Bantu language groups, yet they have different phonological rules and structures. For instance, Kabras has been proven to be the only language with only voiceless consonants but lacking voiced consonants (Chenenje, 2024).

From the above examples and discussion, Swahili emerged from the Bantu group of languages, yet it exhibits phonological mismatch with other Bantu languages. Even the Bantu language group structure differs. Luhya has dialects which have various degrees of intelligibility, hence the need for the study of such languages.

The study adopted Contrastive analysis theory by Selinker and Gass (1992) because it shows the contrasting features that make it difficult for the speaker of the L1 to be proficient in L2 due to the influence of the L1. There is a high possibility that the Kabarasi language will influence the Swahili language and lead to communication errors. The theory is relevant to the existing literature review.

METHODOLOGY

A descriptive research design was applicable because it is within a qualitative research paradigm that analyses the data as it exists in its natural setting (Kumar, 2011). The study was carried out in the schools of Kakamega North Sub-County, where the Kabras dialect is spoken. The population included the students within Kakamega North sub-county

secondary schools who speak Kabarasi as their native language. The study sampled 89 students from 17 schools that participated in school festivals and symposia who were native speakers of Kabras. The samples were collected based on convenience and snowballing techniques. This is so because the Kabarasi speakers would be identified by their peers who were being interviewed. It was done until the saturation stage was attained (Kothari, 2004)

Data collection was done using an unstructured oral interview because it involves gathering information by interacting with informants to yield first-hand information (Chenenje, 2023). An unstructured oral interview is flexible enough to allow informants to answer questions without being restricted to the marking guide or multiple choices, and they are free to express themselves in any direction (Kothari, 2004).

The researcher also observed students as they socialised in both formal and natural settings. The students were engaged in Swahili conversation and tape recorded. The process was done for 6 months until the saturation stage was reached (Wanjohi, 2014). The saturation stage is where the interview yields no new results beyond what has been previously mentioned. It keeps repeating itself. The data collected was recorded and analysed in tables and textual form.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1: Kabarasi Consonants

	Bilabial	Labia-dental	Alveolar	Palatal	Velar	Glottal
Stop/plosive	P		T		k	
Nasal	M	m̥	N	ɲ	ŋ	
Fricative	ɸ	F	s/ʃ		x	h
Affricate			tʃ	ç/tʃ		
Lateral			L	ʎ		
Approximant	W		ɹ	J	ʍ	

(From Chenenje, 2024)

From Table 1 above, Kabarasi has 23 consonants without voiced sounds.

Table 2: Standard Swahili Consonants

Manner of Articulation	Place of Articulation						
	Bilabial	Bilabial-dental				Velar	Glottal
Plosives/Stops	p b			T d		k g	
Fricative	ɱ	f v	θ ð	S z	ʃ ʒ tʃ dʒ	x ɣ	h
Affricates							
Nasal	M			N	ɲ	ŋ	
Lateral				L			
Trill				R			
Approximants	W				j		

(Source: Mtallo & Mwambula, 2018)

From the above data, Swahili has 28 consonants. The Swahili consonants which are not in Kabarasi included all voiced sounds, dental fricative and bilabial fricative. Kabarasi consonants that are missing in Swahili include bilabial fricative, alveolar affricate and palatal affricate. The following table summarises the findings revealed during the interviews with students. It has the erroneous Swahili and the correct version.

Table 3: Variation by Gender

Gender	Boys	Girls
Interviewed	35	54
Many errors in spoken Swahili	20	10

The above Table 3 shows that boys are more susceptible to errors than girls in the Kabarasi speaking community.

Table 4: Sources of Errors in Spoken Swahili

Erroneous Swahili word	Correct Swahili word	Source of error
<i>Tarasa</i>	<i>Darasa</i>	Devoicing
<i>Makitaba</i>	<i>Maktaba</i>	Vowel insertion
<i>Malasi</i>	<i>Malazi</i>	Devoicing
<i>Mukulima</i>	<i>Mkulima</i>	Vowel insertion
<i>Wagaguzi</i>	<i>Wakaguzi</i>	Overgeneralization
<i>Mukalimani</i>	<i>Mkalimani</i>	Vowel insertion
<i>Kupika</i>	<i>Kupiga</i>	Devoicing
<i>Kidede</i>	<i>Kidete</i>	Overgeneralization
<i>Katsalika</i>	<i>Kadhalika</i>	Substitution
<i>Metsali</i>	<i>Methali</i>	Substitution
<i>Alitinda</i>	<i>Alidinda</i>	Devoicing
<i>Kuliendache</i>	<i>Kulienda aje</i>	Devoicing
<i>Machambasi</i>	<i>Majambazi</i>	Devoicing
<i>Muchezo</i>	<i>Mchezo</i>	Vowel insertion
<i>Mavatsi</i>	<i>Mavazi</i>	Substitution

Mjeshi	Mcheshi	Voicing
Kitavu	Kitabu	Substitution
Taraja	Daraja	Devoicing
Mucheke	Mchele	Devoicing
Mutu	Mtu	Vowel insertion
Tagataka	Takataka	Voicing
Vichana	Vijana	Devoicing
Banda	Panda	Voicing
Mocha	Moja	Devoicing
Itameswa	Itamezwa	Devoicing
Zikilisa	Sikiliza	Voicing
Papa	Baba	Devoicing
Mjele	Mchele	Voicing
Msoso	Mzozo	Devoicing
Mcheso	Mchezo	Devoicing
Machani	Majani	Devoicing
Tata	Dada	Devoicing
Kigombe	Kikombe	Voicing
Chiwe	Jiwe	Devoicing
Karadasi	Karatasi	Voicing
Mukagusi	Mkagusi	Vowel insertion
Mderemezi	Mteremezi	Voicing
Kumchali	Kumjali	Devoicing
Mjoro	Mchoro	Voicing
Mdaji	Mtaji	Voicing
Malibo	Malipo	Voicing
Udingo	Utingo	Voicing
Ukali	Ugali	Devoicing
Mazomo	Masomo	Voicing
Ukadili	Ukatili	Voicing
Samani	Zamani	Devoicing
Mkurugenzi	Mkurugenzi	Devoicing
Madambara	Matambara	Voicing
Jama	Chama	Voicing
Machi	Maji	Devoicing
Msalendo	Mzalendo	Devoicing
Nchama	Njama	Devoicing
Ukanga	Uganga	Devoicing
Mchane	Mjane	Devoicing
Kawa	Gawa	Devoicing
Dafuta	Tafuta	Voicing
Zote	Sote	Voicing
Bole	Pole	Voicing
Mchomba	Mjomba	Devoicing
Chulisha	Julisha	Devoicing
Zamahani	Samahani	Voicing

Wakupwa	Wakubwa	Devoicing
Pipi	Bibi	Devoicing
Pwana	Bwana	Devoicing
Kitole	Kidole	Devoicing
Shemechi	Shemeji	Devoicing
Kichichi	Kijiji	Devoicing
Mtomo	Mdomo	Devoicing
Kichasho	Kijasho	Devoicing
Chenga	Jenga	Devoicing
Kidongoji	Kitongoji	Voicing
Faita	Faida	Devoicing
Fija	Ficha	Voicing
Athabu	Adhabu	Substitution
Tharau	Dharau	Devoicing
Chiusulu	Jiuzulu	Devoicing
Mdoko	Mdogo	Devoicing
Mukuristo	Mkristo	Vowel insertion
Mgono	Mkono	Voicing
Akiti	Akidi	Devoicing
Mgarimu	Mkarimu	Voicing
Mdoni	Mtoni	Voicing
Dwende	Twende	Voicing
Savavu	Sababu	Substitution
Kiogode	Kiokote	Voicing
Muta	Muda	Devoicing
Mkala	Mgala	Devoicing
Ufakio	Ufagio	Devoicing
Chembe	Jembe	Devoicing
Uchusi	Uchuzi	Devoicing
Ugalimani	Ukalimani	Voicing
Teni	Deni	Devoicing
Machukumu	Majukumu	Devoicing
Mkonjwa	Mgonjwa	Devoicing
Rutisha	Rudisha	Devoicing
Nachua	Najua	Devoicing
Bamoja	Pamoja	Voicing
Kari	Gari	Devoicing
Ndeke	Ndege	Devoicing

The above table is a summary of the findings from the informants, which revealed that Lukabarasi phonology affects the quality of spoken Swahili. The study found that several students who participated in elocution had minimal phonological errors compared to those who did not. More girls than boys participated in school festivals and symposia, which is a clear

indication that girls are significantly better than boys in spoken Swahili.

There was a mismatch between Lukabarasi and Swahili sounds, as shown in Tables 1 and 2. Although some sounds had a resemblance, the variation significantly affected the L2 adversely. Some errors were due to the tongue slip caused by Lukabarasi having only

voiceless consonants, but Swahili having both voiced and voiceless consonants.

Table three revealed that the courses of error in spoken Swahili of Kabras students included vowel insertion, Devoicing, Overgeneralization and substitution. Most errors occur as a result of devoicing, but voicing was minimal. Swahili consonants that faced substitution were /v/ by the Lukabarasi sound bilabial fricative /ɸ/ and the voiceless dental fricative consonant /θ/, which is replaced by /ts /, a voiceless dental fricative consonant sound in Kabras.

Contrastive Analysis theory by Selinker and Gass (1992) expounded that if there is a mismatch between L1 and L2, there can be a negative transfer where the transfer causes errors in L2. It is deduced that there is a negative transfer due to phonological variation between the sounds of Kabras and Swahili. Kabras has only voiceless sounds without voiced sounds (Chenenje, 2024), whereas Swahili has both voiced and voiceless sounds.

In addition to that, where the Swahili sound was missed in Kabras, it was substituted by the Kabarasi equivalent. The variation in sounds resulted in the substitution of a consonant. Variation between voicing and devoicing resulted in Overgeneralization of phonological rules, leading to mispronunciation. Kabras, like other Bantu languages, tends to insert vowels because in Bantu languages, all syllables have vowels. In Swahili, some syllable structures have a coda, but Kabras syllables have no coda. Such a difference results in vowel misplacement in Swahili, and consequently, mispronunciation occurs.

The study aimed to investigate whether Lukabarasi phonology affects the quality of spoken Swahili, in the framework of Contrastive Analysis Theory by Selinker and Gass (1992). It was found that Kabarasi phonology affects the quality of spoken Swahili negatively as a result of the mismatch in sounds of the two languages.

Therefore, the causes of errors in spoken Swahili are the insertion of vowels, Overgeneralization of sound combinations, substitution and devoicing of voiced consonants. Where the Swahili sound was missed in Kabarasi, it was substituted with the one in Kabarasi, and due to the lack of voiced consonants in Kabarasi, they tended to devoice most consonants in Swahili.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion: There is a mismatch between Lukabarasi and Swahili consonant sounds as a result of Lukabarasi having only voiceless consonants, but Swahili has both voiced and voiceless consonants. Moreover, Kabarasi affects the quality of spoken Swahili negatively due to a consonant mismatch that resulted in the error occasioned by vowel insertion, consonant substitution, Overgeneralization of voiced consonants and devoicing of the voiced Swahili consonant sounds. According to Selinker and Gass (1992), if there is a variation between the two languages, there is a possibility of negative transfer, which occurs when the L1 learners apply the rules and principles of L1 to L2, resulting in errors. The two languages share most of the sound system, morphological processes and syntax due to their Bantu origin, but differ significantly in terms of phonological rules (Chenenje, 2023).

Recommendations: The study found that Kabras phonology affects Swahili spoken language negatively by transfer of rules from L1 to L2, but the students who participated in elocution had an improved version of spoken Swahili. The study recommends that students should be exposed to situations that impel them to speak Swahili, such as recitations, public speaking competitions, Drama festivals, reading, and conversation in Swahili. Additionally, Teachers of Swahili should emphasise the use of Swahili in and outside classes, such as in cultural events, social organisational ceremonies, debating and symposiums, in their communication for the learners to find role models in the language. Schools should expose the students to elocution and group discussions in Swahili without assuming that it is easy and mostly spoken in official settings.

REFERENCES

- Chenenje, S. L. (2024). Phonological basis of mispronunciation in spoken English of Kabarasi people in a social setting. *Journal of Linguistics, Literature, and Language Teaching*, 3(2), 60–69. <https://doi.org/10.37249/jllt.v3i2.704>
- Chenenje, S. L. (2023). Presentation of public speeches in the framework of Gricean maxims: A case study of Kakamega County. *East African Scholars Journal of Education, Humanities and Literature*, 6(4), 162–167. <https://doi.org/10.36349/easjehl.2023.v06i04.002>
- Jeptoo, R. K. (2016). Phonological basis of misspelling in written English of Nandi students in Eldoret West District (Master's thesis, University of Nairobi). <https://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke/server/api/core/bitstreams/e2e29a03-a2e0-43ec-acaa-oac218318f7a/content>
- Kothari, C. R. (2004). *Research methodology: Methods and techniques* (2nd ed.). New Age International Publishers.
- Kumar, R. (2011). *Research methodology* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Mtallo, G. R. (2018). The phonological influence of ethnic community languages in learning Swahili: A case of Kinyakyusa in Mbeya, Tanzania. *Journal of Linguistics Teaching and Research*, 9(4), 702–714. <https://doi.org/10.17507/jltr.0904.05>
- Mudogo, B. A. (2018). Baker's strategies in translation: A lexico-semantic analysis of four Luhya dialects: Lukabras, Lwisukha, Luwanga and Lukhayo informative texts. *African Journal of Education and Social Sciences*, 3, 71–84. https://erepository.kibu.ac.ke/items/34602610-b506-43ba-9de4-26c1c19a600d?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- Ontieri, J. O. (2015). Phonological influences of first language on Kiswahili: A case study of Kenyan Bantu languages. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, 4(1), 2522–2526. <https://www.ijsr.net/getabstract.php?paperid=SUB15856>
- Selinker, L. (1972). Interlanguage. *International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching*, 10(1–4), 209–231. <https://doi.org/10.1515/iral.1972.10.1-4.209>
- Selinker, L., & Gass, S. M. (1992). *Second language acquisition* (3rd ed.). Routledge Publishers.
- Wanjohi, M. A. (2014). *Social research methods series: Proposal writing guide*. Kenpro Publications.